The effect of steric bulk in Sonogashira coupling reactions†

Markus an der Heiden and Herbert Plenio*

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 14th November 2006, Accepted 29th November 2006 First published as an Advance Article on the web 11th December 2006 DOI: 10.1039/b616608c

The rates of Sonogashira coupling reactions using $[Pd-PR_3]$ complexes depend on a combination of the steric bulk of phosphines and substrates; however, below a critical cone angle of *ca*. 170° the catalytic activity drops drastically.

The optimisation of catalysts for a given chemical transformation is an extremely time consuming task.¹ However, uncovering the "best" catalyst for a certain reaction primarily relies on an empirical trial-and-error approach; the increasing use of high throughput techniques underlines this basic problem.^{2–6}

The enormous progress in cross-coupling reactions.⁷ during the last decade resulted in a few rules of thumb, which aid the design of new phosphine ligands for palladium: (a) sterically demanding and electron-rich phosphines form high activity catalysts,^{8,9} (b) an interaction of Pd(0) with a neighbouring π -system seems to be an important factor in Buchwald type phosphines, (c) sp³-CH units next to the Pd are favourable to avoid cyclopalladation reactions with sp²-CH units.¹⁰

While the *a posteriori* rationalisation of a successful catalyst is possible,¹¹ the rational design of catalysts remains the exception.¹² Furthermore, despite the availability of powerful computational methods the predictive power of such techniques appears to be limited.^{13–15}

We wish to demonstrate here the use of high throughput techniques to obtain a better understanding of the factors governing carbon-carbon coupling,16,17 especially that of the Sonogashira reaction.¹⁸ Our approach makes use of a technique, termed onepot multisubstrate screening, introduced by Kagan.¹⁹ In this HTS approach a single catalyst acts upon numerous substrates to simultaneously effect a large number of coupling reactions in a single reaction vessel. We have now extended and modified this method in order to allow the collection of a large number of kinetic data in a short time. The advantages of this approach are obvious. All reactions are carried out under truly identical reaction conditions, a requirement urgently needed to understand subtle catalytic effects, which is only met with difficulty in standard procedures. Furthermore, the large number of reactions carried out simultaneously result in enormous time savings, concerning the coupling reactions as well as the quantitative analysis of the reaction products by gas chromatography. A few potential disadvantages of multisubstrate screening need to be taken care of: (a) the reactions under study must produce the desired products with only negligible amounts of by-products; fortunately the Sonogashira reaction is well behaved in this respect, apart from the desired coupling products only minute amounts («1%) of the homocoupling product diphenylbutadiyne are formed in the strict absence of oxygen; (b) the relative rates at which the respective coupling products are formed must be the same as in the single substrate screens;²⁰ (c) the ratio of the initial rates at which the individual coupling products are synthesized should be smaller than a factor of 100 since otherwise the effective catalyst concentration per substrate is not constant, leading to an overestimation of the observed rates.

Using parallel multisubstrate screening[‡] we wish to demonstrate how systematic variation of steric parameters in both substrate and catalyst influence the rates at which the individual coupling products are formed.

We have simultaneously reacted ten different aryl bromides with phenyl acetylene in a single reaction vessel in the presence of one phosphine (Ad₂PtBu, tBu₃P, tBu₂PCy, tBuPCy₂, PCy₃, Ad₂PBn or tBu₂PBn) (Ad = 1-adamantyl), Na₂PdCl₄ and CuI (molar ratio 4 : 4 : 3) in HN*i*Pr₂ solvent to result in the formation of ten different tolanes (Scheme 1). In total, applying seven different phosphines the rates of 70 coupling reactions were determined.

All of the initial reaction rates determined at low substrate conversion *via* multisubstrate experiments are listed in Table 1. The data illustrate that the most active palladium catalysts are formed with bulky phosphines such as AdP*t*Bu₂, *t*Bu₃P, Ad₂PBn or *t*Bu₂PBn. Within the series *t*Bu₃P, *t*Bu₂PCy, *t*BuPCy₂, PCy₃ the rates of tolane formation decrease by a factor of 26 (T = 80 °C). The Pd–PCy₃ complexes are much less efficient than Pd complexes of the other phosphines, while *t*BuPCy₂ is only 2.4 times slower than *t*Bu₃P for the reaction of bromobenzene.

However, the order of reactivity is not straightforward. In Fig. 1 the relative rates (normalized to H = 1) for the coupling of various 2-substituted aryl bromides are plotted. For the palladium complex of Ad₂PtBu the catalytic activity decreases in the series R = 2-Me, 2-Et, 2-*i*Pr. With tBu₃P and tBu₂PCy the situation is less clear cut and the three different aryl bromides display roughly the same reactivity, while with tBuPCy₂ and PCy₃ increasing steric bulk at the aryl bromides translates into an unexpected increase in the reaction rates!

What happens if the bulk of substituents around the C–Br bond is increased further, *i. e.* when instead of a single substituent in the 2-position, both the 2- and the 6-position are occupied by alkyl groups? The palladium complexes of Ad_2PttBu , tBu_3P and



Scheme 1 Parallel Sonogashira reactions.

Anorganische Chemie im Zintl-Institute, TU Darmstadt, Petersenstr. 18, 64287, Darmstadt, Germany. E-mail: plenio@tu-darmstadt.de † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental section. See DOI: 10.1039/b616608c

Table 1 Absolute rates $(h^{-1})^a$ for the Sonogashira coupling of any bromides using the respective Pd–phosphine complexes

| Aryl bromide ^o | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Н | 2-Me | 2-Et | 2- <i>i</i> Pr | 2,6-Me ₂ | 2,4-Me ₂ | 2,4,6-Me ₃ | 2,4,6-Et ₃ | 2,4,6- <i>i</i> Pr ₃ | 2,6-Me ₂ ,4- <i>t</i> Bu | | |
| 3560 | 2060 | 2000 | 1890 | 760 | 1350 | 360 | 183 | 18 | 262 | | |
| 3270 | 2130 | 2210 | 2270 | 1300 | 1570 | 394 | 307 | 56 | 278 | | |
| 2350 | 1530 | 1630 | 1580 | 860 | 934 | 397 | 311 | 79 | 307 | | |
| 1390 | 950 | 1010 | 1050 | 560 | 495 | 318 | 279 | 202 | 215 | | |
| 125 | 83 | 96 | 112 | 50 | 52 | 30 | 32 | 24 | 25 | | |
| 3550 | 2190 | 2220 | 2120 | 1430 | 1610 | 731 | 607 | 194 | 564 | | |
| 3290 | 2120 | 2200 | 2220 | 1210 | 1550 | 980 | 541 | 95 | 522 | | |
| | Aryl br H 3560 3270 2350 1390 125 3550 3290 | Aryl bromide ^b H 2-Me 3560 2060 3270 2130 2350 1530 1390 950 125 83 3550 2190 3290 2120 | Aryl bromide ^o H 2-Me 2-Et 3560 2060 2000 3270 2130 2210 2350 1530 1630 1390 950 1010 125 83 96 3550 2190 2220 3290 2120 2200 | Aryl bromide ^o H 2-Me 2-Et 2-iPr 3560 2060 2000 1890 3270 2130 2210 2270 2350 1530 1630 1580 1390 950 1010 1050 125 83 96 112 3550 2190 2220 2120 3290 2120 2200 2220 | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | |

^{*a*} Absolute rates are at low conversion TOF. ^{*b*} Headings indicate substituents on the phenyl ring. ^{*c*} Na₂PdCl₄ + CuI + PR₃·HBF₄ (molar ratio Pd : Cu : P = 4 : 3 : 4, 0.1 mol% Pd), HN*i*Pr₂ solvent and base, T = 80 °C



Fig. 1 Relative rates (k_R/k_H) of Sonogashira reactions of 2-substituted aryl bromides using the respective Pd-phosphine complexes.

 tBu_2PCy experience a drastic decrease in the rates of product formation, which is much less pronounced with $tBuPCy_2$ and PCy_3 (Fig. 2).

Up until now we have only discussed relative rates, which are only of limited practical value for catalytic transformations. The absolute rates listed in Fig. 3 reveal that the best catalyst for a given substrate depends crucially on the nature of substrates. For the reactions of phenyl acetylene with the 2,4,6-Me₃ substituted



Fig. 2 Relative rates (k_R/k_H) of Sonogashira reactions of 2,4,6-substituted aryl bromides using the respective Pd-phosphine complexes.



Fig. 3 Absolute rates of Sonogashira reaction of 2,4,6-substituted aryl bromides using the respective Pd-phosphine complexes.

bromobenzene the activity of the palladium complexes of tBu_2PCy , tBu_3P and Ad_2PtBu are roughly similar; with 2,4,6-Et₃ substituents the complexes with tBu_3P , tBu_2PCy and $tBuPCy_2$ are the top performers; for 2,4,6- tPr_3 the palladium complex of $tBuPCy_2$ is the most active catalyst.

What could be the reason for the decrease in the rate of the cross-coupling reaction on increasing the number of alkyl groups next the C–Br bond? The obvious answer seems to be the increase in the steric bulk around the C–Br bond. However, this is not true with phosphines of lower steric bulk (tBu_2PCy , $tBuPCy_2$ and PCy_3) the decrease in the rate of the Sonogashira reaction is mainly due to the electron donating (inductive) effect of the methyl group, the steric effect appears to be negligible (Table 2). Only with very bulky phosphines (Ad_2PtBu and tBu_3P) the steric and electronic effects of the methyl group seem to contribute equally to the retardation of the coupling reaction.

Table 2 Relative rates $(k_{\rm RB}/k_{\rm HB})$ of Sonogashira product formationof various methylated aryl bromides with phenylacetylene using therespective Pd-phosphine complexes

| Substituent(s) | Ad ₂ <i>t</i> Bu | tBu ₃ | <i>t</i> Bu ₂ PCy | tBuPCy ₂ | PCy ₃ |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Н | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2-Me | 0.58 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.69 | 0.66 |
| 2,4-Me ₂ | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.40 | 0.36 | 0.42 |
| 2,6-Me ₂ | 0.21 | 0.40 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| 2,4,6-Me ₃ | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.24 |

The reliability and sensitivity of the multisubstrate screening becomes obvious on comparing the rates for the 2,4,6-Me₃ substituted bromobenzene with 2,6-Me₂,4-*t*Bu relative. The slightly stronger electron-donating capacity of *t*Bu *vs*. Me consistently leads to slower product formation rates with all seven phosphines.

The lower activities observed in coupling reactions utilizing very bulky phosphines may help to explain why certain phosphines of intermediate steric bulk, such as tBu_2PMe ,^{21,22} Ad₂P nBu^{23-26} or Ad₂PBn²⁷⁻²⁹ are highly effective ligands in numerous cross-coupling reactions for a wide range of substrates. This is also observed here (Table 1) with Ad₂PBn based catalysts.

In conclusion, the rate of a given Sonogashira reaction depends on a combination of the steric bulk of the reactants *and* the steric bulk of the phosphines coordinated to the catalytically active palladium. We have now systematically studied this effect, which had been hinted in previous studies to be of significance for coupling reactions.^{30,31} Our experiments have shown that normal substrates are most efficiently coupled with palladium complexes of large phosphines with cone angles of 182° (*t*Bu₃P) to 190° (Ad₂P*t*Bu). However, with very bulky substrates the best results are obtained with palladium–phosphine complexes of slightly smaller steric demand, such as for example in *t*Bu₂PCy (178°) or *t*BuPCy₂ (174°). This simple rule can aid the optimisation of catalysts for various Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions.

Nonetheless, it should be noted that Sonogashira reactions with normal substrates (*i.e.* without high steric demand) show approximately the same conversion rates with a range of Pd-PR₃ complexes (Ad₂PtBu, tBu₃P, tBu₂PCy, tBuPCy₂, Ad₂PBn, tBu₂PBn) with cone angles of between 174 and 190°. However, once the cone angle decreases below a critical threshold the activity of the respective Pd-catalysts goes down drastically. Compared to tBuPCy₂ (174°) the cone angle of PCy₃ (170°) is only slightly smaller, while the decrease in activity of the Pd-complex is 10-fold.

We believe these effects to be even more pronounced in other cross-coupling reactions since acetylenes utilized in the Sonogashira coupling are reactants of low steric demand, while aryl boronic acids used in the Suzuki coupling are more bulky substrates.

Notes and references

‡ *Experimental: Screening of the bulky aryl bromides.* Separate-component catalyst: Palladium composition: Na₂PdCl₄ (26.4 mg, 89.8 µmol) was finely ground with the inert salt HNiPr₂·HBr (420.0 mg). Copper composition: CuI (14.8 mg, 77.7 µmol) was finely ground with the inert salt HNiPr₂·HBr (504.6 mg). Phosphine composition: The respective phosphonium tetra-fluoroborate salt (89.8 µmol) was finely ground with the inert salt HNiPr₂·HBr (504.6 mg). Phosphine composition: The respective phosphonium tetra-fluoroborate salt (89.8 µmol) was finely ground with the inert salt HNiPr₂·HBr.³² Aryl bromides were weighed with the appropriate amount of the internal GC standard and then filled up with HNiPr₂ to reach the required concentration of substrate stock solutions. The solution was then siphoned into a Schlenk tube and carefully degassed by freeze and thaw. The final concentration of the aryl bromide stock solutions was 1 M for the sum of all components and $1/n_{components}$ M for each of the internal GC standards (dibenzofuran and naphthalene).

Sonogashira coupling: The respective catalyst component mixtures (palladium-, copper- and the phosphine-composition), were weighed in a Schlenk tube (each composition: $5.0 \text{ mg} = 1 \text{ } \mu \text{mol of Pd}$, $0.75 \text{ } \mu \text{mol Cu}$,

1 µmol P). This mixture and the aryl bromide stock solution (500 µL, 0.5 mmol in $HNiPr_2$ (4.5 mL) was carefully degassed (freeze and thaw) and then heated to 80 °C with vigorous stirring for 10 min. Initiation of reaction is done by the addition of phenyl acetylene (150 µL, 1.37 mmol), the precipitation of $HNiPr_2$ ·HBr indicates the start of the reaction and stirring was continued until full conversion, and monitored by GC. The rates listed in Table 1 represent the average of at least three independent experiments.

- 1 R. H. Grubbs, Tetrahedron, 2004, 60, 7117-7140.
- 2 J. G. deVries and L. Lefort, Chem. Eur. J., 2006, 12, 4722-4734.
- 3 C. Markert and A. Pfaltz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 2498-2500.
- 4 P. Chen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 2832-2847.
- 5 M. T. Reetz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 284-310.
- 6 E. Burello and G. Rothenberg, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2005, 347, 1969–1977.
- 7 Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions, ed. A. de Meijere and P. J. Stang, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2nd edn, 2004.
- 8 L. L. Hill, L. R. Moore, R. Huang, R. Craciun, A. J. Vincent, D. A. Dixon, J. Chou, C. J. Woltermann and K. H. Shaughnessy, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **71**, 5117–5125.
- 9 R. B. DeVasher, J. M. Spruell, D. A. Dixon, G. A. Broker, S. T. Griffin, R. D. Rogers and K. H. Shaughnessy, *Organometallics*, 2005, 24, 962–971.
- 10 T. E. Barder, S. D. Walker, J. R. Martinelli and S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 4685–4696.
- 11 M. T. Reetz, A. Meiswinkel, G. Mehler, K. Angermund, M. Graf, W. Thiel, R. Mynott and D. G. Blackmond, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 10305–10313.
- 12 M. Miura, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 2201-2203.
- 13 G. Occhipinti, H.-R. Bjørsvik and V. R. Jensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 6952–6964.
- 14 S. Kozuch and S. Shaik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 3355-3365.
- 15 A. A. C. Braga, G. Ujaque and F. Maseras, *Organometallics*, 2006, 25, 3647–3365.
- 16 S. R. Stauffer and J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 6977–6985.
- 17 K. H. Shaughnessy, P. Kim and J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 2123–2132.
- 18 E.-i. Negishi and L. Anastasia, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 1979-2017.
- 19 T. Satyanarayana and H. B. Kagan, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2005, 347, 737–748.
- 20 The extensive validation of the one-pot multisubstrate screening experiments will be reported in due course: M. an der Heiden, H. Plenio, E. Burello, H. C. J. Hoefsloot, G. Rothenberg and S. Immel, manuscript in preparation.
- 21 I. D. Hills, M. R. Netherton and G. C. Fu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 5749–5752.
- 22 J. H. Kirchhoff, M. R. Netherton, I. D. Hills and G. C. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 13662–13663.
- 23 A. Tewari, M. Hein, A. Zapf and M. Beller, Synthesis, 2004, 8, 935.
- 24 A. Zapf, A. Ehrentraut and M. Beller, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2000, 39, 4153–4155.
- 25 H. Neumann, A. Brennführer, P. Groß, T. Riermeier, J. Almena and M. Beller, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2006, 348, 1255–1261.
- 26 S. Klaus, H. Neumann, A. Zapf, D. Strübing, S. Hübner, J. Almena, T. Riermeier, P. Groß, M. Sarich, W.-R. Krahnert, K. Rossen and M. Beller, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, 45, 154–158.
- 27 A. Köllhofer, T. Pullmann and H. Plenio, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 1056–1058.
- 28 A. Datta, K. Ebert and H. Plenio, *Organometallics*, 2003, **22**, 4685–4691.
- 29 A. Ehrentraut, A. Zapf and M. Beller, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2002, 344,
- 209–217.
 30 A. V. Vorogushin, X. Huang and S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 8146–8149.
- 31 A. Ehrentraut, A. Zapf and M. Beller, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2002, 182–183, 515–523.
- 32 A. Köllhofer and H. Plenio, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2005, 347, 1295-1300.